

Conditional logits - models for nominal outcomes

Silje Synnøve Lyder Hermansen

2026-04-23

Introduction

Today: Nominal outcomes (again)

Strategies when our outcome variable is categorical

- ▶ categorical
 - ▶ multinomial regression
 - ▶ *conditional logistic regression*
- ▶ ordinal
 - ▶ ordinal regression

⇒ *Models of choice where we model the choice's characteristics*

Dependent variable: nominal

The discrete choice models describe mutually exclusive choices.

- ▶ The choice variable is nominal: we cannot rank it
- ▶ Our *appreciation* of it is continuous. Two sets of models:
 - ▶ Multinomial: Models *chooser* characteristics
 - ▶ Conditional logit: Models *choice* characteristics (today)

Discuss with your neighbour

Can you think of examples of research questions / data that focus on choice characteristics?

Two ways to understand conditional logit

Two ways to understand conditional logit

We will approach this in two ways:

- ▶ **Take 1:** Latent variable (utility) approach
- ▶ **Take 2:** Recoding + mini-regressions

⇒ Same model, different intuition

Take 1: Utility framework

Take 1: Utility framework

Each individual evaluates all options:

$$U_i(m) = w_{im}\delta + \varepsilon_{im}$$

- ▶ w_{im} : characteristics of option m
- ▶ δ : effect of these characteristics

Choice rule:

- ▶ Choose the option with highest utility

What does the model say?

- ▶ Options compete with each other
- ▶ Changing one option affects all comparisons

Example:

- ▶ If a party gets closer to you ideologically
- ▶ it becomes more likely *relative to all others*

⇒ Choice is inherently **comparative**

Key intuition

We are not modeling:

- ▶ "Who votes left?"

We are modeling:

- ▶ "Which option wins the comparison?"

⇒ Relative attractiveness drives outcomes

Take 2: Recoding the data

Take 2: Recoding the data

Instead of:

- ▶ one row per individual

We create:

- ▶ one row per **individual** \times **option**

Outcome:

- ▶ $Y_{im} = 1$ if option m is chosen
- ▶ $Y_{im} = 0$ otherwise

What are we estimating?

We run:

- ▶ many small binary comparisons

Each row asks:

Was this option chosen or not?

⇒ Then aggregate across all options

Intuition: mini-regressions

Think of it as:

- ▶ comparing each option to its competitors
- ▶ within the same individual

⇒ A series of “within-choice-set” comparisons

Advantage: controls for context

Each comparison is made:

- ▶ within the same individual
- ▶ within the same choice situation

⇒ Automatically controls for:

- ▶ individual-level factors
- ▶ context of the choice set

Key requirement

Variables must vary:

- ▶ **across options**

Not enough:

- ▶ income, gender (same for all options)

Good variables:

- ▶ distance to each party
- ▶ candidate competence
- ▶ whether each party contacted you

Estimation and interpretation

Estimation

- ▶ preprocessing: declare “choice set”
 - ▶ that’s the “grouping variable”
- ▶ use the `survival` package: `coxph()`

Interpretation: marginal effects

- ▶ there is no intercept, but probability of all choices sum up to 1
 - ▶ there is a “latent” propensity, but it depends on the size of the choice set
- ▶ you can interpret marginal effect
 - ▶ same as with binomial logits: partial scenario + backtransformation

Limitation

No variation within choice set:

- ▶ \Rightarrow cannot estimate effect

Example:

- ▶ income is constant across all options

\Rightarrow Drops out of the model

Limitation: size of choice set

The model relies on:

- ▶ comparing options within a choice set

Problems if:

- ▶ too many options
- ▶ too little variation

⇒ Works best with small, well-defined choice sets

Takeaway

- ▶ Conditional logit = model of comparisons
- ▶ Built from within-choice variation

Two ways to understand it:

- ▶ Utility maximization
- ▶ Recoded binary comparisons

⇒ Same model, different intuition

Discuss with your neighbour

We have seen 3 models of choice. Can you give each an identity card?

- ▶ when to use it
- ▶ data structure
- ▶ estimation technique
- ▶ how to interpret
- ▶ main assumption